REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3 are all the claims pending in the application. By this amendment, the recitations of dependent claim 2 have been added to independent claim 1, and dependent claim 2

has been canceled.

Claim 1 is the only independent claim.

Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to

comply with the written description requirement.

In the previous response, Applicant amended claim 1 to recite that "the one side [is] provided opposite to the other side with respect to a radial direction of the drum." However, the

Examiner now asserts that this added recitation is not supported by the original specification.

In response, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to recite that the reinforcing

material is guided in opposite orientations by the first and second conveying paths. ¹ Specifically,

claim 1 recites that the first conveying path guides the reinforcing material toward the drum at a

first orientation, and that the second conveying path guides the reinforcing material toward the

drum at a second orientation that intersects the first orientation.

In view of this amendment, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw

this rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

¹ See original specification at page 12, line 1-2 and FIGS. 4C and 4E. Such a device would allow a single device to be used for forming plural belt layers having cords that extend in intersecting

directions

4

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q89294

Application No.: 10/543,192

Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suda et al.

(US 2003/0051794) taken alone, or further in view of Hitotsuyanagi et al. (US 2002/0046796).

Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include all of the recitations of dependent

claim 2. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of independent

claim 1 at least because there is no reasonable combination of Suda and Hitotsuyanagi that

would meet every recitation of the claim. For example, there is no combination of Suda and

Hitotsuyanagi that would reasonably meet the claimed device including a first conveying path

guiding the reinforcing material toward the drum at a first orientation; and a second conveying

guiding the reinforcing material toward the drum at a second orientation that intersects the first

orientation, in which a reinforcing material exit of the first conveying path is inclined with

respect to the axial direction of the drum, and a reinforcing material exit of the second conveying

path, is inclined in a direction opposite the reinforcing material exit of the first conveying path.

By this configuration, the device of claim 1 has the advantageous effect in that it does not

require rotation of the conveying path to provide plural belt layers having cords that extend in

intersecting directions, in contrast to devices in the prior art.²

Neither Suda nor Hitosuyanagi discloses the recited configuration. For example,

although Suda at FIGS. 25-28 may disclose strips 202 provided at different orientations (FIG. 25

v. FIG. 27), these strips 202 are not provided at opposite *inclinations*.

² See, for example, the exemplary embodiment at FIG. 3 in which the reinforcing material 12A is guided

in opposing inclinations, in addition to the different orientations.

5

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Application No.: 10/543,192

Thus, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of

independent claim 1.

In addition, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of

dependent claim 3 at least because of its dependency from claim 1.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 46,027

Attorney Docket No.: Q89294

/John M. Bird/

John M. Bird

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: July 7, 2008

6